



BRAINSTORMING SESSION

Workshop on 'Peer Review Mechanisms for National Statistical Offices (NSOs) in OIC Member Countries'

19-20 December 2017

Ankara, Turkey



Aim of Peer Reviews

- Internal to identify strengths and weaknesses
- External to increase trust of users
- Mix of internal and external

Preparation of Peer Reviews

- Which documents to provide to the experts in advance
- What kind of self-assessment questionnaire to use (standards available, specific for each country, how detailed?)
- What information to be provided through self-assessment questionnaires
- Timing of documents and self-assessment questionnaires

Expert Team

- Who should decide on the experts
- Number of experts
- Profiles of experts (criteria for the choice)
- Composition of the expert team
- Roles of the experts
- Observers and their role

Country Visits

- Length
- How and who to define/agree dates
- Agenda of country visits
- Standard agenda points
- Structure of the agenda

Validation of Results/Reports

- Who is the owner of the report
- Who should decide on which recommendations are included in the report
- Who is responsible for the final approval
- Who publishes the report
- Who designs improvement actions
- Who should communicate the report to stakeholders
- Who validates improvement actions

Follow-up of the Peer Review

- What system of follow-up should be designed
- What type of follow-up (centralised, country level)
- Who is responsible for the monitoring of progress
- Who communicates on progress
- To whom reporting on progress is done